Simple, responsive and cost effective simultaneous quantification of Ga(III) and In(III) in environmental water samples**
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Abstract. The simultaneous determination of Ga(III) and In(III) in environmental water samples was described. The procedure was based on adsorptive stripping voltammetry using an in situ plated bismuth film electrode as a working electrode. In order to obtain low detection limits and satisfactory separations of gallium and indium peaks on the voltammogram, cupferron was used as a complexing agent. The optimum composition of the supporting electrolyte was found to be: 0.1 mol l⁻¹ acetate buffer (pH=5.0), 2 × 10⁻⁴ mol l⁻¹ cupferron, 2 × 10⁻⁴ mol l⁻¹ Bi(III), optimal voltammetric parameters were found to be: accumulation potential -0.9 V, accumulation time 60 s. The linear range of Ga(III) as well as In(III) was observed over a concentration range from 2.5 × 10⁻⁸ mol l⁻¹ to 1.5 × 10⁻⁶ mol l⁻¹. The method was satisfactorily applied to the simultaneous quantification of gallium and indium in environmental water samples. This facilitated a promising application of the recommended procedure for monitoring the environment, which is necessary to evaluate the soil-plant system.
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INTRODUCTION

The need for procedures for the simultaneous determination of Ga(III) and In(III) results from the fact that both gallium and indium have recently gained much interest and are essential in high-technology industries, mainly for manufacturing semiconductor and electronic devices. Gallium and its compounds are also used in the production of low-melting alloys and as a specialized mirror coating in high-temperature thermometers (Choua et al., 2008; Font et al., 2007; Zhao et al., 2012). Indium is primarily used in thin-film coatings that are prevalent in liquid crystal display screens (LCDs) in computers, game consoles, and CD/DVD players, flat panel displays, solar cells, and electroluminescent lamps. Also, indium oxide is used in solar cells and electroluminescent lamps (Alfantazi et al., 2003; Merian et al., 2004). Recent reports mention the use of alloys composed of gallium and indium for 3D printing with liquid metals. These alloys make it possible to create structures by piling drops on top of each other and thereby forming specific shapes (Daalkhajjav et al., 2018; Zatopa et al., 2018).

The soil-plant system is largely dependent on the quality of the environmental waters, so monitoring its quality is a basic issue. This monitoring process requires, among other things, the control of the content of anthropogenic metals in environmental waters. At present, due to the growth of high-technology industries, multiple metals enter the environment, among them gallium and indium. As both gallium and indium are used for similar purposes, they often get into the environment from the same anthropogenic sources and consequently, they are frequently present together in environmental waters. Therefore, procedures allowing the simultaneous determination of gallium and indium are very desirable.

The simultaneous determination of Ga(III) and In(III) is an important issue as evidenced by the considerable volume of literature data published both, in the past (Hayashibe et al., 1989; Orians and Boyle, 1993; Prat et al., 1996; Zaki and El-Didamony, 1988) and in the 21st century (Bermejo-Barrera et al., 2001; Liu et al., 2012; Medvecky and Briancin, 2002; Saberyan et al., 2009; Singh et al., 2001). In the vast majority of the procedures described, spectrometric methods were used, such as electrothermal atomization...
atomic absorption spectrometry (ET-AAS) (Hayashibe et al., 1989), inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS) (Orians and Boyle, 1993), or inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectrometry (ICP-OES) (Liu et al., 2012). Only one voltammetry procedure, namely anodic stripping voltammetry was applied for the simultaneous determination of gallium and indium (Medvecky and Brianicin, 2002). Given the fact that ET-AAS, ICP-MS and ICP-OES require expensive instrumentation, the voltammetric procedure seems to be an attractive alternative for the simultaneous determination of Ga(III) and In(III), therefore the creation of such a procedure is highly desirable. Among the stripping voltammetric methods we can distinguish between anodic stripping voltammetry (ASV) and adsorptive stripping voltammetry (AdSV), where AdSV has preferable characteristics for obtaining a lower detection limit. That being the case, our research will be aimed at developing an original AdSV procedure for the simultaneous determination of gallium and indium.

An important issue in voltammetric measurement is the choice of working electrode. At present there is an unwarranted focus on the mercury-free electrode, as it is more eco-friendly for laboratory experiments. From the recently proposed electrodes used in voltammetry, the bismuth film electrodes (BiFE) were shown to be attractive alternatives to mercury electrodes both in anodic as well as in adsorptive stripping analysis (Królicka et al., 2002; Petovar et al., 2018; Urbanova et al., 2010; Wang et al., 2000; Wang et al., 2001; Zidaric et al., 2018). These electrodes may be prepared both in ex situ and in situ mode, the latter one especially can boast both speed and simplicity of preparation. Moreover, as has been repeatedly described in the literature, the BiFE allows one to obtain high sensitivity and provides the possibility of conducting measurements in the presence of oxygen. Due to this, the total measurement time is shorter because it is not necessary to deoxygenate the solution (Grabarczyk and Wasąg, 2015; Królicka et al., 2003; Wasąg and Grabarczyk, 2016; Węgiel et al., 2017).

In view of the above, we decided to exploit the in situ plated bismuth film electrode as both a responsive and easily prepared working electrode for the simultaneous determination of Ga(III) and In(III) by adsorptive stripping voltammetry.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Stock standard solutions of 1 g l⁻¹ Ga(III) and 1 g l⁻¹ In(III) were obtained from Merck (Darmstadt, Germany) and Fluka (Buchs, Switzerland), respectively. The solutions of Ga(III) and In(III) of lower concentrations were prepared daily by dilution of the stock solution as required. A stock standard solution of 1 g l⁻¹ of Bi(III) and cupferron, which makes the voltammetric determination of these elements possible with a low detection limit (Grabarczyk and Wardak, 2014; Grabarczyk and Wasąg, 2015; Grabarczyk and Wasąg, 2016; Wasąg and Grabarczyk, 2016). This study will focus on the development of an original adsorptive voltammetric procedure for the simultaneous determination of gallium and indium. In order to obtain the best shape and separation of gallium and indium peaks on one voltammogram that would provide optimum
conditions, we studied the influence of various experimental parameters (e.g. pH, cupferron concentration, conditions of bismuth film formation and the adsorption of gallium and indium complexes onto it).

Based on literature data, an acetate buffer was chosen as a supporting electrolyte as it was considered to be the most suitable option for both Ga(III)-cupferron and In(III)-cupferron complex formation (Grabarczyk and Wardak, 2014; Grabarczyk and Wasąg, 2015; Grabarczyk and Wasąg, 2016; Wasąg and Grabarczyk, 2016). The pH of the acetate buffer was changed from 3 to 5.5 and its influence on gallium and indium peak currents is presented in Fig. 1. Another factor affecting the selection of pH is the separation of peaks on the voltammogram. It was noted that the pH only has a slight influence over the separation of the analyzed peaks, and with a more acidic solution the separation of peaks deteriorates to an insignificant extent. Summing up the above data, a pH equal to 5.0 ± 0.1 was proposed as the optimal one.

The influence of the concentration of the supporting electrolyte on the gallium and indium peak currents was tested in the range 0.05 – 0.2 mol l⁻¹. It was found that the change in the buffer concentration in the tested range did not affect the peak currents of gallium and indium, thus a concentration of acetate buffer equal to 0.1 mol l⁻¹ was selected as the optimal concentration.

The experiments were carried out using solutions containing 2 × 10⁻⁷ mol l⁻¹ Ga(III) and In(III), 0.1 mol l⁻¹ acetate buffer (pH=5.0), 2 × 10⁻⁴ mol l⁻¹ Bi(III) and a varying concentration of cupferron. The measurements performed showed that the concentration of cupferron did not affect the separations between the peaks of gallium and indium. Only with an increasing concentration of cupferron do both peaks move towards more negative potentials. That being the case, only the influence of cupferron concentration on peak currents was taken into account. For both elements it was observed that the peak currents increased upon increasing the cupferron concentration to 2 × 10⁻⁴ mol l⁻¹ and then it remained unchanged up to a concentration of 4 × 10⁻⁴ mol l⁻¹ at a higher concentration they decreased slightly (Fig. 2).

The Bi(III) concentration used for the in situ formation of the bismuth film was found to affect the peak height for gallium and indium. The AdSV peak current of 2 × 10⁻⁷ mol l⁻¹ Ga(III) and In(III) in the presence of 2 × 10⁻⁴ mol l⁻¹ cupferron and 0.1 mol l⁻¹ acetate buffer (pH=5.0) was examined for its dependence on Bi(III) concentration over the range of 5 × 10⁻⁶ and 5 × 10⁻⁴ mol l⁻¹. The obtained results are presented in Fig. 3. It may be observed that the bismuth concentration of 2 × 10⁻⁴ mol l⁻¹ is the most suitable one for the simultaneous determination of gallium and indium in one measurement.

In order to simplify all measurements we proposed one accumulation step, during which, the formation of the in situ bismuth film electrode and the adsorption of Ga(III)-cupferron and In(III)-cupferron complexes take place simultaneously. The influence of potential values on the peak currents of gallium and indium, and the separation of peaks was examined over a range from -0.65 V to -1.1 V. It was observed that by changing the potential in a negative direction, the separation of gallium and indium peaks increased. This dependence is illustrated in Fig. 4 which presents the voltammograms recorded for an accumulation potential equal to -0.65 V and -0.9 V for a solution containing 5 × 10⁻⁷ mol l⁻¹ Ga(III) and In(III). With regard to the peak currents, in the range of the accumulation potential from -0.65 to -0.9 V the peak currents remained unchanged.
and, as the potential value changed in the negative direction, a gradual decrease of peak currents was observed. An optimum accumulation potential of -0.9 V was chosen in the proposed procedure.

The accumulation time was tested in the range of 0-180 s at the accumulation potential equal to -0.9 V. The values of voltammetric peak currents increased almost linearly with the accumulation time to 30 s both for gallium and indium.

Linear calibration graphs show the concentration range of $2.5 \times 10^{-8}$ mol l$^{-1}$ to $1 \times 10^{-6}$ mol l$^{-1}$ for Ga(III) and In(III), and they can be described by the following calibration equations: $y = 4.98 x - 0.08$ (R=0.997) for Ga(III) and $y = 5.23 x + 0.07$ (R=0.997) for In(III), where $y$ and $x$ are the peak current (µA) and metal concentration (µmol l$^{-1}$), respectively (Fig. 5). The detection limits estimated from 3 times the standard deviation of low Ga(III) and In(III) concentrations and accumulation time of 30 s were approximately $9.7 \times 10^{-9}$ mol l$^{-1}$ and $8.8 \times 10^{-9}$ mol l$^{-1}$, respectively. The relative standard deviation (RSD) from six determinations at concentrations of $5 \times 10^{-8}$ mol l$^{-1}$ of Ga(III) was 4.1 % and at $1 \times 10^{-8}$ mol l$^{-1}$ of In(III) it was 3.9 %. All of the measurements were performed under the selected conditions: 0.1 mol l$^{-1}$ acetate buffer (pH=5.0), 2 × 10$^{-4}$ mol l$^{-1}$ cupferron, 2 × 10$^{-4}$ mol l$^{-1}$ Bi(III), deposition potential of -0.9 V, deposition time of 30 s.

The quality of water affects the soil-plant system, therefore the purpose of our research was the practical application of a methodically developed procedure for the simultaneous determination of Ga(III) and In(III) in environmental water samples. In our investigations we used natural water samples collected from the eastern part of Poland, the Bysztzyca River and Lake Zemborzyce. After sampling and before analysis the samples were stored in polypropylene bottles at a temperature of 6°C. Based on our previous studies, in order to carry out direct voltammetric measurements of the analyzed waters, before measurements were made, the samples were mixed with Amberlite XAD-7 resin in order to remove organic substances, such as surface active substances and humic substances (Grabarczyk and Wardak, 2014; Grabarczyk and Wasąg, 2015, 2016; Wasąg and Grabarczyk, 2016). The process consisted of taking 2 mL of the analyzed water sample and 1 mL of acetate buffer (pH=5.0) and diluting it with distilled water to 10 ml and then mixing for 5 min with the resin. After that the resin was sedimented and a suitable volume of the solution from over the resin was withdrawn and fed into the cell where the voltammetric measurement was carried out. The voltammograms recorded for the Bysztzyca River and Lake Zemborzyce water samples did not exhibit any Ga(III) and In(III) signal, which showed that the concentrations of these metals were below the detection limit of the proposed procedure. Therefore, in order to confirm the accuracy of the developed procedure, the analyzed samples were fortified with gallium and indium and recovery studies were performed. Three replicate determinations of each sample

---

**Fig. 3.** Influence of the concentration of Bi(III) on the peak current of indium (a) and gallium (b). Concentration of In(III) and Ga(III) $2 \times 10^{-7}$ mol l$^{-1}$, 0.1 mol l$^{-1}$ acetate buffer (pH=5.0), 2 × 10$^{-4}$ mol l$^{-1}$ cupferron. The accumulation potential and time are -0.9 V and 30 s, respectively.

**Fig. 4.** Voltammograms recorded at different accumulation potentials -0.9 V (a) and -0.65 V (b). Concentration of In(III) and Ga(III) $5 \times 10^{-7}$ mol l$^{-1}$, 0.1 mol l$^{-1}$ acetate buffer (pH=5.0), 2 × 10$^{-4}$ mol l$^{-1}$ cupferron 2 × 10$^{-4}$ mol l$^{-1}$ Bi(III). The accumulation time was 30 s.

**Fig. 5.** Calibration curves for In(III) (a) and Ga(III) (b) were obtained under standard conditions. Supporting electrolyte: 0.1 mol l$^{-1}$ acetate buffer (pH=5.0), 2 × 10$^{-4}$ mol l$^{-1}$ cupferron, 2 × 10$^{-4}$ mol l$^{-1}$ Bi(III). The accumulation potential and time were -0.9 V and 30 s, respectively.
CONCLUSIONS

1. The method of simultaneous determination of Ga(III) and In(III) is simple, responsive and cost effective, these factors distinguish it from the other procedures described in the literature and designed for the simultaneous quantification of gallium and indium.

2. The developed procedure may be used for the direct determination of gallium and indium in environmental water samples.

3. The satisfactory results of the analysis of real samples imply a promising application of the recommended procedure for monitoring the environment, which is necessary to evaluate the soil-plant system.

Conflict of interest: The Authors do not declare conflict of interest.

REFERENCES not cited in the text


Fig. 6. Voltammograms obtained in over the course of the In(III) and Ga(III) determination of the Bystrzyca river sample: (a) diluted five times; (b) as (a) + 5 × 10⁻⁷ mol l⁻¹ In(III) and Ga(III); (c) as (a) + 1 × 10⁻⁸ mol l⁻¹ In(III) and Ga(III).

containing 2 × 10⁻⁷ mol l⁻¹ Ga(III) and In(III) produced average recovery values ranging from 95.3 to 98.7% with a relative standard deviation (RSD) of 5.2% for gallium and average recovery values ranging from 94.3 to 97.6% with a relative standard deviation (RSD) of 5.0% for indium. The typical voltammograms obtained during the analysis of Bystrzyca river water are presented in Fig. 6.


